

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/752,641	BAGNALL, GARY WAYNE
	Examiner Elizabeth A. Shaw	Art Unit 3644

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowance pending

(1) Elizabeth A. Shaw.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Swan.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 March 2006

**Time:** 3:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*102 and 103*

Claims discussed:

*1, 5 and 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Tominaga*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims under appeal were deemed allowable but it was felt that prosecution could be reopened on claims 1 and 5 using the previous reference. Suggestions were made to incorporate previously allowed subject matter into claims 1 and 5 to avoid reopening prosecution. See Examiner's Amendment..